

---

Subject: Lxadmin vs Cpanel

Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Thu, 28 Feb 2008 00:15:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This is a quite old post, and no longer relevant. Lxadmin now has nearly every feature you can find in CPanel. If you have a feature in cpanel, that you want implemented in Lxadmin, please let us know.

Cpanel can be pretty much characterized as software designed by high school kids, and then 10 years of hacks piled on top of each other, with no central design or logic.

=====

Since most of our customer are coming from CPanel background, we are explicitly stating our roadmap regarding Lxadmin's feature compatibility with Cpanel. First of all, CPanel is a single server, Unix only, (APache only) system (Their windows code is a separate program), unlike Lxadmin, which is a cross-platform, distributed software, that supports multiple drivers for almost all services, apache/lighttpd, bind/djbdns, spamassassin/bogofilter.

But other than that, we have explicit plans to have 100% CPanel compatibility. To this end, we have even made radical changes to our core design in order to achieve this, and I can confidently say that ex-CPanel people now find Lxadmin a much less alien environment than it was earlier.

The main difference between lxadmin and CPanel is that in CPanel, there is no per domain configuration at all. All changes are automatically applied to all domains. In Lxadmin, we introduced the 'Update-all' feature, which achieves the same purpose. If you are inside a client, just go to any feature page, and instead of clicking update, you click on update all which will impress the particular parameters on all the objects in that account.

=====

---

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin

Posted by [Marie](#) on Sun, 02 Mar 2008 11:37:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

What I like with cPanel and I miss with Hypervm/Lxadmin is :

1) Updating/creating/modifying stuff can be done either through command line or through the web interface with cPanel/WHM but will lead to some issues with Hvm/Lxa.

From a sysadmin managing a lot of servers point of view, this makes a big difference.

2) Web Interfaces : WHM/cPanel is a lot more simple and intuitive.

I'm not talking about the design, here. Hvm/Lxa is actually very nice to the eyes but when you're looking for a specific function, it can take some time to find it through the different pages.

cPanel has two main pages (WHM and cPanel), distributing all categories and functions, when you have to deal with many tabs and pages with Hvm/Lxa.

3) It's already been said numerous times but I still have to mention it : the CHANGELOG

Other than that, what I do appreciate a LOT is the awesome support we're getting here. That one is actually second to none, keep up the excellent work !

---

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin

Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sun, 02 Mar 2008 12:22:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

>  
>  
> What I like with cPanel and I miss with Hypervm/Lxadmin is :  
>  
> 1) Updating/creating/modifying stuff can be done either through command line or through the web interface with cPanel/WHM but will lead to some issues with Hvm/Lxa.  
> >From a sysadmin managing a lot of servers point of view, this makes a big difference.

Yes. Lxadmin currently doesn't allow you to modify config files outside of it. But if you have a full fledged cluster, using mult-update, you can actually manage your entire network much faster on Lxadmin than manually changing configurations. At least, on our Ixlabs server, even me, who normally prefers command-line, exclusively use Lxadmin to manage our websites. One of the reasons is of course, the multi-update feature, which allows me to update many objects together.

We will provide full and complete API to access everything via command line though.

```
/script/update --class=web --name=--all-- --v-text_blockip='192.168.1.*'
```

The above will update every web object setting the blocked-ip as the one you provide. We currently do have fixweb, fixdns etc, that will mass-update all the objects. Some lxadmin modules like mail actually do respect changes made via command line. The core web configuration files are not accessible though. We will also re-write some of the modules to respect the existing configuration, but it is not in our short-term plans at this time.

Quote:

> 2) Web Interfaces : WHM/cPanel is a lot more simple and intuitive.  
> I'm not talking about the design, here. Hvm/Lxa is actually very nice to the eyes but when you're looking for a specific function, it can take some time to find it through the different pages.  
> cPanel has two main pages (WHM and cPanel), distributing all categories and functions, when you have to deal with many tabs and pages with Hvm/Lxa.

Lxadmin/hyperVM separates admin from server . But this is again because of the distributed nature of architecture. We cannot have server functions in admin because, you can have many servers. For client/domains, we will see if we can provide a single page where all the domain functions are available on the main client page itself.

Quote:

> 3) It's already been said numerous times but I still have to mention it : the CHANGELOG :d  
>

We have 2 more critical features to implement. Customizable document-root and redundant master, which will be released today and Tuesday. After that, we will start keeping a changelog.

Thanks for the comments.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 05:01:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

>  
> 2) Web Interfaces : WHM/cPanel is a lot more simple and intuitive.  
> I'm not talking about the design, here. Hvm/Lxa is actually very nice to the eyes but when you're looking for a specific function, it can take some time to find it through the different pages.  
> cPanel has two main pages (WHM and cPanel), distributing all categories and functions, when you have to deal with many tabs and pages with Hvm/Lxa.

I will add to that: It is not merely a problem with intuitiveness, but rather the number of clicks. Lxadmin needs more number of clicks to achieve many things, and while multi-update does solve a lot of problems, it doesn't solve everything.

We have implemented a all mailaccount , which will show every single mailaccount in your login in a single page. Currently it is only available for admin, but we will implement this for customers too.

In fact, we will provide a generic concept called all resource which will show every resource created by everyone in your account. For instance, all mysql database or all cron jobs etc.

Anyway, Lxadmin is a very nascent software. In a year, we will have every feature that's found in every control panel.

Thanks.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 09:56:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 03 March 2008 06:01

We have implemented a all mailaccount , which will show every single mailaccount in your login in a single page. Currently it is only available for admin, but we will implement this for customers too.

In fact, we will provide a generic concept called all resource which will show every resource created by everyone in your account. For instance, all mysql database or all cron jobs etc.

Great stuff ! I do like it a lot

Suggestion : rename the column "owner" to "Domain name" and add another column called "Owner" with the owner's username.

Also could we get the ability to delete a domain or a vps in the "All domains" or "All VMs", please ?

Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 03 March 2008 06:01

Anyway, Lxadmin is a very nascent software. In a year, we will have every feature that's found in every control panel.

Thanks.

Sure thing

I'm playing with Hypervm/Lxadmin since less than one year (june 2007) and the improvements are truely amazing !

BTW, when will you release the redundant master system for Hypervm ? This would make our scheduled master server upgrade even easier, lol.

Thanks for your awesome work, support and everything !

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin

Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 10:22:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

On Mon, Mar 03, 2008 at 09:57:04AM -0000, Marie wrote:

>  
> Great stuff ! I do like it a lot :)  
> Suggestion : rename the column "owner" to "Domain name" and add another column called "Owner" with the owner's username.

This is fixed.

Quote:

>  
> Also could we get the ability to delete a domain or a vps in the "All domains" or "All VMs", please ?

Currently the 'all resource' feature doesn't have delete. We have to add some more layers of security, and then we will enable 'delete' too. Currently, you can click on the 'owner', and then you will get a list of the same resource in his account, and there you can delete.

We have an extremely rigid security, where only the immediate owner can do anything on a particular resource. We will add it, since it is very essential.

Quote:

>  
> BTW, when will you release the redundant master system for Hypervm ? This would make our scheduled master server upgrade even easier, lol.  
>  
> Thanks for your awesome work, support and everything !

It will be available in 2 days.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin

Posted by [Marie](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 10:48:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Another thing that I like with cPanel is their incremental backup. It makes the server backup very fast, then I use the /scripts/postcpbackup to rsync the backups to a remote server, which is also faster and saves a lot of bandwidth.

---

---

Subject: Re: CPANEL vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 10:53:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We already have that on hyperVM central backup. We will implement the same logic for lxadmin too. We will have the same browse backup feature, where clients can browse their files, and restore files individually, just like we have for hyperVM. But it will be available only after our windows release.

Thanks.

On Mon, Mar 03, 2008 at 10:48:23AM -0000, Marie wrote:

>  
>  
> Another thing that I like with cPanel is their incremental backup. It makes the server backup very fast, then I use the /scripts/postcpbackup to rsync the backups to a remote server, which is also faster and saves a lot of bandwidth.

---

Subject: Re: CPANEL vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Mon, 03 Mar 2008 10:55:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 03 March 2008 11:53 We already have that on hyperVM central backup. We will implement the same logic for lxadmin too. We will have the same browse backup feature, where clients can browse their files, and restore files individually, just like we have for hyperVM. But it will be available only after our windows release.

Thanks.

Sounds great !

---

Subject: Re: CPANEL vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 04 Mar 2008 00:07:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

> 2) Web Interfaces : WHM/cPanel is a lot more simple and intuitive.  
> I'm not talking about the design, here. Hvm/Lxa is actually very nice to the eyes but when you're looking for a specific function, it can take some time to find it through the different pages.  
> cPanel has two main pages (WHM and cPanel), distributing all categories and functions, when you have to deal with many tabs and pages with Hvm/Lxa.

We now have a full cluster-wide ipaddress access in admin itself. Go to client home -> ipaddress and you can access all the Ipaddresses there, irrespective of the server they are in. Certain things cannot be directly put in admin, since they belong to a particular server, and accessing all the servers--lxadmin is meant to manage 1000s of servers centrally--would be physically impossible. But we will provide a central access to all resources wherever we can.

Thanks.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Thu, 06 Mar 2008 08:13:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We have the full array of all resources now. Update to the latest version, and refresh the entire frame, and on the top header, now there is a button called all . You can see all mailaccounts, ftpusers, scheduled tasks, mailing lists and mysql databases .

I doubt any other CP can ever make it this convenient.

Thanks.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Thu, 06 Mar 2008 10:14:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

AWESOME !!!

I doubt any CP has such a fantastic development/support team

Question : could you add another column with the owner's username, please ?

Thanks a bunch for all these improvements !

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Thu, 06 Mar 2008 11:50:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

>  
>  
> AWESOME !!!  
>  
> I doubt any CP has such a fantastic development/support team :)

That's actually not enough. We'd rather Lxadmin do the talking, and not bring developers into this. We are very serious about matching Cpanel's features.

Quote:

>  
> Question : could you add another column with the owner's username, please ?

It is possible, but needs some changes to the framework itself.

Thanks for the suggestions.

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Fri, 07 Mar 2008 17:45:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 03 March 2008 11:22

Quote:

>  
> BTW, when will you release the redundant master system for Hypervm ? This would make our scheduled master server upgrade even easier, lol.  
>  
> Thanks for your awesome work, support and everything !

It will be available in 2 days.

Any news ?

Thanks !

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Mon, 10 Mar 2008 09:59:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Redundant primary/secondary master is available now. Please check the latest post in the general knowledge base to find out how to get it working. We have made it as simple as possible, and finally filtered it down to 3 commands.

Thanks.

On Fri, Mar 07, 2008 at 11:37:03PM +0530, Lxhelp wrote:

> [quote]  
> >  
> >  
> > Any news ?  
> >

---

**Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin**  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 19:26:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> Quote:  
> > 2) Web Interfaces : WHM/cPanel is a lot more simple and intuitive.  
> > I'm not talking about the design, here. Hvm/Lxa is actually very nice to the eyes but when you're looking for a specific function, it can take some time to find it through the different pages.  
> > cPanel has two main pages (WHM and cPanel), distributing all categories and functions, when you have to deal with many tabs and pages with Hvm/Lxa.  
>

Hello,

Please check the new design. Now, all the buttons are directly available in the customer itself. You don't have to navigate into the domain. It is actually exactly like cpanel. It was quite trivial to create the design, and we didn't even make any core changes.

Just login as a customer who has at least one domain in his account and please let me know your opinion.

thanks.

---

**Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin**  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Mon, 17 Mar 2008 19:49:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 17 March 2008 20:26

Just login as a customer who has at least one domain in his account and please let me know your opinion.

Honestly... great job ! It's much much more clear for the end user now - and for the admin, too I really like the "Switch to another", btw.

The Super Intelligent Shade of Blue is awesome, once again !

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 13:55:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

>  
>  
> Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 17 March 2008 20:26  
> > Just login as a customer who has at least one domain in his account and please let me know your opinion.  
>  
>  
> The Super Intelligent Shade of Blue is awesome, once again !

Hi,

Please update and check now. We have moved mailaccounts too into client, so you can access all the mailaccounts irrespective of the domain. Mailaccount actions are also available in client, and mailaccount is also handled transparently like we handle domains.

We have been brutally honest till now, in acknowledging that cpanel had a more user friendly interface, but now I can confidently say that we are superior. For one, we will be able to mimic every single one of cpanel's features, but cpanel cannot do the reverse. For instance, in lxadmin the admin has a full and direct access to every client and domain, but cpanel will never be able to implement this. Nor will it ever be able to support multiple web/dns/spam/mail servers like we do. (We will add postfix support soon). Of course, distribution, and cross platform capabilities are things cpanel devs can't even dream of.

5 years down the line people will look back and wonder how a poorly written hack like cpanel could ever been considered the 'leading' control panel. :-) With the current design, we can trivially come up with skin that will exactly look like cpanel's frontpage.

thanks.

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 16:07:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Tue, 18 March 2008 14:55 We have moved mailaccounts too into client, so you can access all the mailaccounts irrespective of the domain. Mailaccount actions are also available in client, and mailaccount is also handled transparently like we handle domains.

Great addition !

I also like the no domains for admin and reseller but a feature is missing here : bulk change of ownership

Thank you ! I don't doubt that Lxadmin/Hypervm has a great future

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 18 Mar 2008 16:11:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

We will add the multi-update to change owner too.

Thanks.

On Tue, Mar 18, 2008 at 04:07:19PM -0000, Marie wrote:

>  
>

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Thu, 27 Mar 2008 23:12:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

>  
> Lxhelp wrote on Mon, 17 March 2008 20:26  
> > Just login as a customer who has at least one domain in his account and please let me know your opinion.  
>  
>  
> Honestly... great job ! It's much much more clear for the end user now - and for the admin, too :)  
> I really like the "Switch to another", btw.  
>  
> The Super Intelligent Shade of Blue is awesome, once again !

All the server functions are now available in admin itself. It gets the actions for the localhost pserver and shows all the buttons in admin home itself now. Please update and login as admin and see.

I think we now have all best of all possible worlds:

a) All buttons are available in a single page in admin and customer home.

b) Individual management of domains still possible'.

c) Domains can be added into admin. For people who want to do SELF-HOSTING, they can just start without thinking about resource plans or clients. In fact, the client concept can be completely removed, and lxadmin will still work properly.

d) You can scale to 1000s of servers, since the you can always manage the servers via the servers page.

thanks.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 13:10:21 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If I can...

- it will be good to have the "update home" button in section "Server"
- in "mail accounts" an icon for change the spam status of each account
- an icon for jump quickly from domain, sub-domain to mysql databases

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 13:15:27 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

- >
- >
- > If I can...
- >
- > - it will be good to have the "update home" button in section "Server"

You need to only update the master. So update home is now only present in admin.

Quote:

> - in "mail accounts" an icon for change the spam status of each account

yes, it is still possible. We just hid the button. The problem is that if we have spam manage in mailaccount too, there will be two spam buttons in the main customer home page. We will see if we can provide per account spam per domain spam

Quote:

> - an icon for jump quickly from domain, sub-domain to mysql databases

You can put the mysql databases in the favorites. Then you can jump to it from anywhere. :-)

thanks.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 13:32:47 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Favorites, favorites how can I miss it, I never used them but now I will !!

thanks

---

Subject: Re: CPanel vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [drank](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 13:55:05 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote: c) Domains can be added into admin. For people who want to do SELF-HOSTING, they can just start without thinking about resource plans or clients. In fact, the client concept can be completely removed, and lxadmin will still work properly.

Would you make up your mind regarding this and stop moving features to and fro. I'm juggling domains to and out of admin like mad last 2 weeks. The initial idea of having self-hosting without clients was great but strangely you removed it. And now you put it back just after I moved all my domains to a client. This inconsistency is starting to take on my nerves and it means you do not think in depth on new features before releasing them.

Is this design final or should we expect new changes after several updates

Thank you.

Subject: Re: CPANEL vs Lxadmin  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Fri, 28 Mar 2008 14:01:38 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

>  
> Would you make up your mind regarding this and stop moving features to and fro. I'm juggling domains to and out of admin like mad last 2 weeks. The initial idea of having self-hosting without clients was great but strangely you removed it. And now you put it back just after I moved all my domains to a client. This inconsistency is starting to take on my nerves and it means you do not think in depth on new features before releasing them.  
>  
> Is this design final or should we expect new changes after several updates :)

It is ALWAYS and ALWAYS a good idea to host domains under their own clients. We added the domain-adding-in-admin feature, because new lxadmin users were getting confused. They clicked the add domain and then they just got confused and gave up.

Anyway, we are merely adapting to what our customers want, and yes, we are very close to achieving our goal of best of all possible worlds . If a lot of customers request something, we have no choice but to implement it.

thanks.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2008 09:40:01 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Fri, 28 March 2008 14:15

You can put the mysql databases in the favorites. Then you can jump to it from anywhere.

There is a way to order the links in favorites ?

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2008 10:05:56 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:

>  
>  
>

> There is a way to order the links in favorites ?

Click on edit. You can sort by name.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2008 12:52:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lxhelp wrote on Sat, 29 March 2008 11:05Click on edit. You can sort by name.

Yes, I've done it but it can be better. If I sort by name (description) it's only re-order in the "edit" mode not in the block favorite at top left.

It should be good to use ajax for order each links as we want and have the same order than in "edit" mode into the top left block.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sat, 29 Mar 2008 12:55:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:

>

> Yes, I've done it but it can be better. If I sort by name (description) it's only re-order in the "edit" mode not in the block favorite at top left.

>

> It should be good to use ajax for order each links as we want and have the same order than in "edit" mode into the top left block.

We will have a full desktop style interface once we switch to Silverlight.

thanks.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [sandeep](#) on Mon, 07 Jul 2008 22:33:49 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I love the amount of features lxadmin has, i do think that it can beat all the commercial control panels. There are just a few problems with the interface.

It looks rather cheap, i think cpanel and plesk are along the right lines on how lxadmin should go. they both have easy to find features and there is also a lot of space. Frames are a nuisance as well. There also needs to be a way to change the control panels branding.

i think that is all, basically cutting a long story short, you need to change the interface to something that is not so busy and everything is organized in correct named groups.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [markb14391](#) on Thu, 17 Jul 2008 03:13:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree, I recently posted questions about modifying the interface in another thread, but I was told that certain things about the interface are "not important."

Web hosts are looking for functional AND professional-looking options to offer their clients. Function is definitely a must, but style is too.

I have shown the LXAdmin interface to several clients and potential clients, and they all say it looks like a powerful product with a lot to be desired interface-wise. The most common adjective used to describe it was "amateurish."

As web hosting providers we are also marketers and salespeople. And the overall quality of our product is often judged by look and feel as much as functionality. Therefore, to offer products like these, they need to have significantly improved interfaces. That is not an insult, it's constructive criticism. Most of those I showed LXAdmin to said that they would not feel comfortable using it for their websites mainly because it doesn't look professional and polished, even though under the hood it probably is much better than cPanel. That's the truth, and listening to this advice can help LXLabs win bigger market share.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sat, 27 Sep 2008 07:33:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A complete redesign of Lxadmin/HyperVM interface is being done. We have hired a third party design firm specifically for this purpose.

The thing is, interface is important, but first we needed to get feature compatibility with our main competitors. Merely having a great interface will not help us, if we do not have the stability and the features.

thanks.

On Thu, Jul 17, 2008 at 03:13:21AM -0000, Mark Bailey wrote:  
>

>  
> I agree, I recently posted questions about modifying the interface in another thread, but I was told that certain things about the interface are "not important." Web hosts are looking for functional AND professional-looking options to offer their clients. Function is definitely a must, but style is too.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sat, 27 Sep 2008 07:36:44 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We will have a completely new interface with multiple themes. And a fresh new set of Icons too. The problem with the current interface is that the Icons are all extremely poor, and sometimes does not match the action they represent.

But redesigning the interface is a trivial job. Lxadmin/hyperVM's total html code is mere 1200 lines. We can actually completely redesign every single page in less than a week.

Quote:

On Mon, Jul 07, 2008 at 10:33:50PM -0000, Sandeep wrote:

>  
>  
> I love the amount of features lxadmin has, i do think that it can beat all the commercial control panels. There are just a few problems with the interface.  
>  
> It looks rather cheap, i think cpanel and plesk are along the right lines on how lxadmin should go. they both have easy to find features and there is also a lot of space. Frames are a nuisance as well. There also needs to be a way to change the control panels branding.  
>  
> i think that is all, basically cutting a long story short, you need to change the interface to something that is not so busy and everything is organized in correct named groups.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [markb14391](#) on Sat, 27 Sep 2008 07:41:51 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That is great news!

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Thu, 27 Nov 2008 16:42:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

New feather skin is available. You can check the new design at <http://lxlinuxdemo.lxadmin.com:7778> and logging in as domain owner.

With the new layout, we will come up with something that will make all cpanel users extremely comfortable.

thanks.

On Sat, Sep 27, 2008 at 07:41:51AM -0000, Mark Bailey wrote:

>  
>  
> That is great news!

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Fri, 28 Nov 2008 08:15:11 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

The top icons (home, all, clients) are in black/white, it's not a good idea IMHO.

Bigger icons with colors like before is better...

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Fri, 28 Nov 2008 08:28:15 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, this is just the basic outline. I have got a new graphics design firm, and now they are working on it. Please give me all your suggestions.

thanks.

On Fri, Nov 28, 2008 at 08:15:11AM -0000, Yokav wrote:

>  
>  
> Hello,  
>  
> The top icons (home, all, clients) are in black/white, it's not a good idea IMHO.  
>  
> Bigger icons with colors like before is better...  
>

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [markb14391](#) on Mon, 01 Dec 2008 20:39:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I can't see the new design...always get a page error at that URL.

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 08:38:40 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The demo was down for sometime. It is back up now.

On Mon, Dec 01, 2008 at 08:39:10PM -0000, Mark Bailey wrote:

>  
>  
> I can't see the new design...always get a page error at that URL.  
>

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 11:20:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The url above doesn't work anymore but this one works fine  
<http://lxlinuxdemo.lxlabs.com:7778/login/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 12:17:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The header has been completely redesigned. Please check now.

On Fri, Nov 28, 2008 at 08:15:11AM -0000, Yokav wrote:

>  
>  
> Hello,  
>  
> The top icons (home, all, clients) are in black/white, it's not a good idea IMHO.  
>  
> Bigger icons with colors like before is better...  
>

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Yokav](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 14:51:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yes, it's really better like this!

But the icons are resize, maybe with icons which are not resize it will display a better image. And the icons could be bigger, it's a little too small actualy (maybe not if the icons are not resize).

Edit: And hide the space at the bottom of the page if there is no alerts.

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 15:25:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There's a lot of work needed. The header should look exactly like the task bar of windows/mac and the icons should be properly laid out. Resizing the icons, I will see if I can program photoshop to do it properly.

On Tue, Dec 02, 2008 at 02:51:54PM -0000, Yokav wrote:

>

>

> Yes, it's really better like this!

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [k0nan](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 16:43:20 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Lxhelp wrote on Tue, 02 December 2008 16:25 There's a lot of work needed. The header should look exactly like the task bar of windows/mac and the icons should be properly laid out. Resizing the icons, I will see if I can program photoshop to do it properly.

On Tue, Dec 02, 2008 at 02:51:54PM -0000, Yokav wrote:

>

>

> Yes, it's really better like this!

photoshop can do batch resizing

IMHO the problem is another

usually, 16x16 icons are not derived from resizing the original ones, but they are redesigned (usually, 16x16 icons have less color and less details, and are 2D, not 3D, in order to improve visibility)

regards

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [k0nan](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 16:44:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

IMHO also with this design header main image (images/LightFeather.jpg) become useless

regards

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Tue, 02 Dec 2008 17:15:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The header needs a background, the icons should be placed in rectangles with white background. I have tried with the show thin header , it doesn't look good actually.

On Tue, Dec 02, 2008 at 04:44:23PM -0000, k0nan wrote:

>  
>  
> IMHO also with this design header main image (images/LightFeather.jpg) become useless  
>  
> regards  
> --  
> An LxLabs satisfied customer  
>

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Sun, 28 Dec 2008 08:16:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

There is one thing that cpanel NEVER does and that I would greatly appreciate on lxadmin/hypervm :

PLEASE STOP overwriting config files !!!

lxa/hvm are actually great products, but from an admin point of view, cpanel is still a lot more easy to manage and customize.

Please do something... this is really irritating, to say the least...

Thanks !

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Lxhelp](#) on Sun, 28 Dec 2008 08:37:02 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The reason is that cpanel stores all the config information directly in the config files itself. Lxadmin stores it in the database, and then syncs it to the system. That's a primary design issue, and it will take some time before the core concept can be changed. But lxadmin creates its own config file, and leaves the main configuration intact. For instance, the /etc/httpd/conf/httpd.conf file is not changed. So is the base named.conf file. Instead, it creates its own config files, which are always overwritten.

Can you tell me what exact changes were you trying to do?

thanks.

On Sun, Dec 28, 2008 at 08:16:29AM -0000, Marie wrote:

>  
>  
> There is one thing that cpanel NEVER does and that I would greatly appreciate on lxadmin/hypervm :  
>  
> PLEASE STOP overwriting config files !!!  
>  
> lxa/hvm are actually great products, but from an admin point of view, cpanel is still a lot more easy to manage and customize.  
>  
> Please do something... this is really irritating, to say the least...  
>  
> Thanks !

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Marie](#) on Sun, 28 Dec 2008 13:36:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Lxhelp wrote on Sun, 28 December 2008 09:37  
Can you tell me what exact changes were you trying to do?

In this case, /etc/spamdyke.conf.

Do you plan to change this behavior ? This would make our sysadmin life a lot easier

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Polarbear](#) on Sat, 07 Aug 2010 19:18:10 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I vote lxadmin

---

---

Subject: Re: Lxadmin vs Cpanel  
Posted by [Polarbear](#) on Sat, 07 Aug 2010 19:19:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I vote lxadmin